

**CLAIREMONT COMMUNITY PLAN UPDATE
MEETING SUMMARY 09/12/2017**

Conservation Element Discussion

1. Question of why they (community) does not have visibility of zoning and land use designations?
2. When will we be discussing?
3. Why is zoning cut out of the conservation element?
4. Questions on handout matrix/format, clarify please.
5. Can we get a matrix of nomenclature changes? – Referring to nomenclature changes between CPU and GP.
6. What are the differences between population-based parks and resource based parks?
7. Relating to questions about the development of privately owned canyon lands;
 - Can open space zoning be updated to conserve?
 - What are the laws against development?
 - Does our collective use (pass through by trail) afford us any rights?
8. Can we make any policy changes? – General question
9. Do we keep the 30' height limit in regards to these topics? - Referring to page 9 of Conservation element.
10. Why do we need to re-iterate the 30' height limit? , I thought it was a done deal, no increase to height limit.
11. Can we add to or subtract from this list? – referring to page 9 of Conservation element.
12. Should this be an urban design element? - Referring to page 9 of Conservation element, Public Views and Scenic Resources, letter "b".

Economic Prosperity Element Discussion

1. Question about Industrial zoning names. – Referring to “Costco” area, Rose Creek Canyon.
2. What is the definition of “Encourage”, what are we doing to encourage? Why do we use the word so often? It was suggested an outreach program created instead – Referring to the frequent use of encourage in City documents.
3. Question to group; Support, encourage or promote which is better, which would we like to see in the future? – Referring to “Encourage” question.
4. I don’t want to see more restaurants, shops or living spaces. I would like to see tech jobs, or the area become a center for something; Marijuana, breweries, etc. – Referring to “Costco” area, Rose Creek Canyon re-development of industrial area.
5. Make zoning flexible to allow for residential development neat trolley lines.
6. Participant suggested that we remove this language from the current CPU and that we remove all fencing from the SDG&E yard for better views.
7. Why are we going to landscape, wall or cover the SDG&E yard? – Participant was reminded that this was already in the 1989 CPU.
8. Use SDG&E yard for recreation.
9. (Friends of Forrest Creek) – Use Rose Canyon for recreation, tie elements together, bowling alley, Trampoline Park.
10. Remove SDG&E fencing for better views.
11. How do we capture ideas from our meeting? Can we have a matrix (of big ideas)?
12. How do we release topics prior to meetings?
13. When will we address zoning and planning issues?
14. Why does it take so long to implement the approved ideas/plans? - Referring to 2020
15. Who fixes the infrastructure between now and 2020 (while the projects are finished)?
16. Disappointed that there are not more meetings on land use, only 3 of them.
17. What is the cutoff point for changes? – Referring to land use.
18. Should we have a Community land use workshop in January?
19. Please (Marlon) send a PDF of the “Next steps” time line to the interested party list.